

# LESLIE MATRIX ANALYSIS IN DEMOGRAPHIC MODEL

# BAIQ DESY ANISKA PRAYANTI<sup>1\*</sup>, I GEDE ADHITYA WISNU WARDHANA<sup>2</sup>, MAMIKA UJIANITA ROMDHINI<sup>3</sup>, MAXRIZAL<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bangka Belitung University, <sup>2,3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Mataram University, <sup>4</sup>Department of Information System, Institute Sains dan Bisnis Atma Luhur

\*baiq-desy@ubb.ac.id

# ABSTRACT

This study aims to show one of the benefits of algebra in modeling a problem. The population growth model that will be used is the Leslie Matrix. The Leslie matrix, also known as the Leslie model, was invented by P. H Leslie in 1945 to analyze population growth. It can be represented by the Perron root so that the Leslie model is simpler. The Perron root value affects the population, and If the Perron root value is greater than one, it means that the population will increase, while if the Perron root value is less than one, it means the population will decrease.

Key Word: leslie matrix, demographic, eigen value

# **1** Introduction

The science of demography consists of formal demography and population studies. In formal demography, techniques for calculating demographic measures were developed, such as adjusting data for estimates of population distribution by age, estimates of fertility, mortality and migration, and population projections. Formal demography uses mathematics and statistics as its analytical tools. Meanwhile, the analysis of the relationship between population and development aspects is studied in population studies [1].

One of the population growth models that demographers often use is the Lesli model. A population can be modeled with the Leslie Matrix by knowing the number of the female population. The composition of the number of women in a population is influenced by three factors, namely birth, death, and age.

In the Leslie Matrix, to find out the growth model of a population, several assumptions must be met, namely: only the female population is required, the maximum age that a population can reach, the population age group, the survival of each age group towards the next age stage is known and the birth rate for each age group is known [2].

Leslie Model has been used to predict woman population [2]–[4]. Modified Leslie-Gower predator-prey system with Crowley-Martin functional response and prey refuge [5]. The Leslie matrix to evolutionary demography used to the art of population projection models [6]. Leslie matrix by harvesting in the youngest age group (Harvesting the youngest class) and know the harvesting policy of each age group of sheep population has discussion on [7].

West Nusa Tenggara is one of the provinces that has the largest cattle population in Indonesia. Seeing the great potential in cattle farming, the NTB government since 2008 has launched the Bumi Sejuta Sapi program [8]. Based on the explanation above, in this research

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50 Tanggal Masuk: 17-08-21; direvisi: 24-10-21; diterima: 29-10-21

the Leslie Model will be used in modeling and predicting the growth of cattle in West Nusa Tenggara Province in 2021.

#### 2 Leslie Model

The female population is grouped into several age groups. Let U be the maximum age a female can give birth. Suppose the population is divided into n groups based on age. In that case, the interval distance for each group is  $\frac{U}{n}$ . Thus, the group 1 is those aged  $\left[0, \frac{U}{n}\right)$ , group 2 is that aged  $\left[\frac{U}{n}, \frac{2U}{n}\right)$  group n is those aged  $\left[\binom{(n-1)U}{n}, U\right]$ . Let the observation time be  $t_i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k, \dots$ . In the Leslie model, the observation distance from  $t_{i-1}$  to  $t_i$  is the same as the group interval distance. So,  $t_i = \frac{iU}{n}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

Let  $a_i$  be the average number of girls born from each group i, and  $b_i$  is the ratio of the number of women who survive to enter the group i+1, with the number of women in the group i. It should be noted that at least one  $a_i \ge 0, i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$  and  $0 < b_i < 1$ . Note that at least one  $a_i \ge 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$  and  $0 < b_i < 1$ . Note that at least one  $a_i > 0$  because otherwise, it means that the birth process did not occur and  $b_i > 0$  because otherwise, no female can survive into the group i+1.

We assume  $X_i^k$  to be the number of women in the group *i* at observations  $t_k$ . At the time of observation,  $t_k$  the number of girls in the first group is equal to the number of girls born in the first group from time  $t_{k-1}$  to  $t_k$  plus the number of girls born in the second group from time  $t_{k-1}$  to  $t_k$  plus the number of girls born in the group *n* from time  $t_{k-1}$  to  $t_k$ . So that,

$$X_1^k = a_1 X_1^{k-1} + a_2 X_2^{k-1} + \dots + a_n X_n^{k-1}$$
(1)

Because the interval distance of each group is equal to the distance of two consecutive observations, all females who were in the group i+1 at the time of observation  $t_{k+1}$  were in the group i at the time of observation  $t_k$ . Therefore, the number of females in the group i+1 at the time of observation  $t_k$  is the same as the number of females who are still alive in the group i at the time  $t_{k-1}$  to  $t_k$ . So that,

$$X_{1}^{k} = a_{1}X_{1}^{k-1} + a_{2}X_{2}^{k-1} + \dots + a_{n}X_{n}^{k-1}$$

$$X_{2}^{k} = b_{1}X_{1}^{k-1}$$

$$X_{3}^{k} = b_{2}X_{2}^{k-1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{i}^{k} = b_{i-1}X_{i-1}^{k-1}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1$$
(2)

Equations (1) and (2) can be written in matrix form

$$\begin{aligned} X^{k} &= L X^{k-1} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} X_{1}^{k} \\ X_{2}^{k} \\ X_{3}^{k} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} \quad a_{2} \quad a_{3} \quad \cdots \quad a_{n-1} \quad a_{n} \\ b_{1} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad b_{2} \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \cdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad b_{n} \quad 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1}^{k-1} \\ X_{2}^{k-1} \\ X_{3}^{k-1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n}^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The vector  $x^k$  is the age distribution vector at time  $t_k$ , and the vector  $x^{k-1}$  is the age distribution vector at the time  $t_{k-1}$ , while the matrix L is called the Leslie matrix [9].

Leslie's matrix is a non-negative matrix that has positive eigenvalues. Then the largest positive eigenvalue is called the Perron root. The following theorem explains the relationship between the Leslie matrix and the Perron root.

**Theorem** If  $\lambda_p$  is the Perron root of the Leslie matrix, L then

$$X^{k} = L X^{k-1}$$

can be represented as  $X^k = \lambda_p X^{k-1}$ .

**Proof** The eigenvalues of the Leslie Matrix can be found using the characteristic equation  $\lambda = \det(L - \lambda I)$ . As Leslie matrix *L* is diagonalizable, then  $L = VDV^{-1}$  where *D* is a diagonal matrix whose elements are the eigenvalues of *L* and *V* is an invertible matrix constructed by the corresponding eigenvectors. So that,

$$L = V \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n} \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$
(3)

where

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

By multiplying k factors, we get the equation

$$L^{k} = \left(VDV^{-1}\right)^{k} = \underbrace{\left(VDV^{-1}\right)\left(VDV^{-1}\right)\cdots\left(VDV^{-1}\right)}_{k \text{ factor}} = VD^{k}V^{-1}$$

So that,

$$L = V \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n^k \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$

For any age vector,  $x^0$  we can find the age vector  $x^k$  after k years by finding  $L^k x^0$ 

$$x^{k} = L^{k} x^{0} = V \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix} V^{-1} x^{0}$$
(5)

We assume,

$$V^{-1}x^0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then equation (5) can be written as

$$x^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{1} \\ c_{2} \\ 0 \\ c_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

So that

$$x^{k} = \mathbf{1} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{1} \lambda_{1}^{k} \\ c_{2} \lambda_{2}^{k} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n} \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

Thus,

$$x^{k} = c_1 \lambda_1^{k} v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^{k} v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^{k} v_n$$
(7)

Suppose  $\lambda_1$  is the largest eigenvalue of any existing eigenvalues ( $\lambda_1$  is strictly dominant eigenvalue). Then if equation (7) is divided by  $\lambda_1^k$ , we get

$$\frac{x^{k}}{\lambda_{1}^{k}} = \frac{\lambda_{1}^{k}}{\lambda_{1}^{k}} c_{1}v_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}^{k}}{\lambda_{1}^{k}} c_{2}v_{2} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{n}^{k}}{\lambda_{1}^{k}} c_{n}v_{n}$$
(8)  
If  $\left|\lambda_{1}^{k}\right| > \left|\lambda_{i}^{k}\right| i = 2, 3, \dots, n$  then  $\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}^{k}}{\lambda_{1}^{k}}\right| < 1$   
So that,  
 $\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k} \longrightarrow 0$  if  $k \longrightarrow \infty$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$   
Based on this, if the limits are taken for both sides, then from equation  
 $\left(x^{k}\right) = \left(\lambda_{i}^{k} - \lambda_{i}^{k}\right)^{k} = \lambda_{i}^{k}$ 

on (8)  $(x^{n})$  .  $\lambda_1^{\circ}$ ...  $\Lambda_2^{\kappa}$  $\Lambda_n^{\alpha}$ 

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1^k} \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1^k} c_1 v_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^k} c_2 v_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^k} c_n v_n \right)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} \right) = c_1 v_1 + \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{\lambda_2^k}{\lambda_1^k} c_2 v_2 + \frac{\lambda_3^k}{\lambda_1^k} c_3 v_3 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n^k}{\lambda_1^k} c_n v_n \right)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} \right) = c_1 v_1$$

Since  $c_1v_1$  is a constant, it implies that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} c_1 v_1$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} - c_1 v_1 \right) = 0$$

It means

$$\left|\frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} - C_1 v_1\right| < \varepsilon$$

in other words

$$0 < \frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} - c_1 v_1 < \varepsilon$$

So,

$$\frac{x^k}{\lambda_1^k} - c_1 v_1 = 0$$

For a large value of k the approximate value for  $x^k$  is up to

$$x^{k} \approx \lambda_{1}^{k} c_{1} v_{1} \tag{9}$$

 $x^{k-1} \approx \lambda_1^{k-1} c_1 v_1$ 

For k-1 applies

So that,

$$v_1 \approx \frac{x^{k-1}}{\lambda_1^{k-1} c_1}$$

From here, equation (9) becomes ([2], [7])

$$x^{k} \approx \lambda_{1}^{k} c_{1} \frac{x^{k-1}}{\lambda_{1}^{k-1} c_{1}}$$
$$x^{k} \approx \lambda_{1} x^{k-1}$$

# **3** Result and Discussion

Based on the results of the analysis of cattle population data from 2014 to 2020, data on the composition of cattle were obtained as follows [10]:

Year	Population	AGE GROUP (FEMALE)		
		Kids	Young	Adult
2014	1013793	132566	126802	314415
2015	1055013	137956	131958	327199
2016	1092719	142887	136674	338893
2017	1149539	150316	143781	356515
2018	1183570	154766	148037	367069
2019	1234640	161444	154425	382908
2020	1285746	168127	160817	398758

**Tabel : COW COMPOSITION IN NTB** 

From the data on the composition of cows, the child's survival rate is 1.00, and the young age's survival is 2.58. Furthermore, the obtained survival rate is 0.44. Leslie matrix is formed as follows:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0,44 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2,58 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The values of  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 = 0$  are due to the absence of births in the calves and young cattle groups. The  $a_3 = 0,44$  value is the birth rate for the adult cattle group. The  $b_1 = 1$  value is the ratio of the number of female cows in the young age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the child age group t-1. Meanwhile,  $b_2 = 2,58$  is the ratio of the number of the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t to the number of female cows in the adult age group in the year t = 1,04 so that the cattle growth model in West Nusa Tenggara is:

$$X^{k} = L X^{k-1} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$
$$X^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0, 44 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2, 58 & 0 \end{bmatrix} X^{k-1}$$

Based on Theorem, Leslie Matrix L above can be represented by a constant, namely the Perron root of the matrix so that the model becomes

$$X^{k} = \lambda_{p} X^{k-1}$$
$$X^{k} = 1,043 X^{k-1}$$

The Leslie model above can predict the cattle population in the coming year.

#### 4 Conclusion

It can be concluded that the Leslie *L* matrix in the Leslie model  $X^k = L X^{k-1}$  can be represented by the Perron root so that the Leslie model becomes  $X^k = \lambda_p X^{k-1}$ . Perron root value affects the number of populations if  $\lambda_p > 1$  it means the population will increase while if  $\lambda_p < 1$  means the population will decrease. Leslie model for the cattle population is  $X^k = 1,043 X^{k-1}$ .

# 5 Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the University of Bangka Belitung for the opportunity to research and training in writing articles.

#### References

- [1] W. Bulan Samosir, Omas. Rajaguguk, *Demografi Formal*, vol. 1, no. November 2015. 2015.
- Mulyono, A. Mansyur, and F. Marpaug, "Prediction of the number of Women Population in Medan City 2025 by using the Leslie Matrix," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1819, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1819/1/012014.
- [3] S. Yuliani, R. B.V., and Mashuri., "Penerapan Diagonalisasi Matriks Dan Matriks Leslie Dalam Memproyeksikan Jumlah Populasi Perempuan," *Unnes J. Math.*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2012, doi: 10.15294/ujm.v1i1.1055.
- [4] D. Anggreini and R. C. Hastari, "Penerapan matriks Leslie pada angka kelahiran dan harapan hidup wanita di Provinsi Jawa Timur," *Pythagoras J. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 109–122, 2017, doi: 10.21831/pg.v12i2.15293.
- [5] J. P. Tripathi, S. S. Meghwani, M. Thakur, and S. Abbas, "A modified Leslie–Gower predator-prey interaction model and parameter identifiability," *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, vol. 54, pp. 331–346, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2017.06.005.
- [6] M. Kajin, P. J. A. L. Almeida, M. V. Vieira, and R. Cerqueira, "the State of the Art of Population Projection Models: From the Leslie Matrix To Evolutionary Demography," *Oecologia Aust.*, vol. 16, no. 01, pp. 13–22, 2012, doi: 10.4257/oeco.2012.1601.02.
- [7] D. Anggreini, "Model Matriks Leslie dengan Strategi Pemanenan pada Kelompok Umur Termuda pada Angka Kesuburan dan Harapan Hidup Populasi Domba Betina," J. *Fourier*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 23–34, 2018, doi: 10.14421/fourier.2018.71.23-34.
- [8] Dinas Peternakan Hewan, Blue Print NTB Bumi Sejuta Sapi. 2009.
- [9] H. Anton and C. Rorres, *Elementary Linear Algebra*. 1386.
- [10] N. Badan Pusat Statistik, Provinsi Nusa Tengara Barat Dalam Angka 2021. 2021.